

**IN THE NAME OF THE REPUBLIC OF ARMENIA**  
**DECISION OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF ARMENIA**

---

**ON THE CASE CONCERNING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF ARTICLE 22 OF  
THE CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE OF THE REPUBLIC OF ARMENIA AND ALSO  
CONSIDERING ITS INTERPRETATION IN LAW ENFORCEMENT PRACTICE,  
RAISED BY THE APPLICATION OF ARMINE TER-AVETISYAN**

Based on the results of an examination of the Case and guided by point 1 of Article 168, part 1 of Article 170 of the Constitution, as well as Articles 63, 64, and 69 of the Constitutional Law “On the Constitutional Court”, the Constitutional Court **DECIDED:**

**1.** To declare Article 22 of the Civil Procedure Code of the Republic of Armenia contradicting part 2 of Article 37, part 1 of Article 61, and Article 75 of the Constitution and invalid, insofar as it does not provide for a rule of territorial (alternative) jurisdiction, at the claimant’s discretion, for claims concerning the determination of a child’s place of residence in cases where the child is staying with the claimant.

**2.** According to part 10 of Article 69 of the Constitutional Law “On the Constitutional Court”, the final judicial act issued against the Applicant shall be subject to revision based on a newly emerged circumstance as prescribed by the Law.

**3.** According to part 2 of Article 170 of the Constitution, this Decision shall be final and enter into force upon its promulgation.

**PRESIDING JUDGE**

**A. DILANYAN**

6 May 2026

DCC-1827